

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel  
Thursday - 1 May 1975

Page 5

CONFIDENTIAL

[REDACTED]

18. [REDACTED] Frank Slatinshek, Chief Counsel, House Armed Services Committee, called to learn if there was any truth to the Jack Anderson article in the paper today that the Soviets had conducted a military exercise which had as its theme a first strike on the United States.

[REDACTED] OCI, and I met with Slatinshek later in the day and [REDACTED] explained the kernel of truth involved in the article and the abundance of inaccurate information and conjecture that flowed therefrom.

19. [REDACTED] Mike Malanick, D/LOG, called to report that GSA had come through the back door to establish contact with the Agency in response to Representative James Hanley's (D., N. Y.) 22 April 1975 letter to GSA Administrator Arthur Sampson. I reviewed my session earlier in the day with Mr. Hanley and of my suggestion to Mr. Duckett, DDS&T, that Sampson be briefed which should handle the matter.

20. [REDACTED] Delivered to the offices of Senator John Sparkman (D., Ala.) and Representative Carl Albert (D., Okla.) [REDACTED]

21. [REDACTED] Delivered to Sandy Gilbert, Defense Subcommittee staff, House Appropriations Committee, for Charles Snodgrass, an advanced copy of the Director's statement for the up-coming briefing before the Subcommittee.

22. [REDACTED] Spoke with Jane Walters, Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress, who asked if I could give her the name of Ambassador Richard Helms' attorney. I suggested that since Mr. Helms now works for the State Department that she contact them and she said she would.

23. [REDACTED] Tom Smeeton, Minority Staff, House International Relations Committee, called. He said the Committee would be holding hearings shortly on the possibility of lifting Cuban trade restrictions. One of the considerations involved in this, he said, is the question of possible violations of human rights in Cuba. He asked for any assistance the Agency might be able to provide concerning this. I told him I would look into it and be back in touch.

CRC, 10/22/2003

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/01/16 : CIA-RDP77M00144R000500030029-6

Approved For Release 2004/01/16 : CIA-RDP77M00144R000500030029-6

CPYRGHT



...The Washington Post  
walked to a nearby club  
for radio and tele-  
vision Michigan.

101).  
The 10-member Senate con-  
tingent led by Sen. Edmund  
S. Muskie (D-Maine) and the  
12-member House group head-  
ed by Rep. Brock Adams (D-  
Wash.) had already reached  
unanimous agreement the day  
before on a \$298.2 billion reve-  
nue target for the federal gov-  
ernment in fiscal 1976.

Yesterday they moved to  
he brink of a final compro-  
mise on total 1976 spending  
for the federal government,  
which is expected to be in the  
vicinity of \$365 billion to \$368  
billion, leaving a record per-  
centage deficit of up to \$70 bil-  
lion. But they stumbled over  
whether to include about \$3  
billion for job-creating public  
works spending. The word  
"counter-cyclical" was on  
everyone's lips. They intend  
to finish today.

Working methodically and  
with some good humor, they  
agreed to provide money in  
full for scheduled Defense  
Department civilian and mil-  
itary pay raises instead of ad-  
hering to pay-raise "caps" of 5  
percent proposed by the Pres-  
ident to throw out proposed  
military and reconstruction  
aid to Indochina as no longer  
needed, and to budget \$90.7  
billion for national defense  
instead of the \$93.9 billion the  
President wants.

Splitting differences, they  
also agreed to cut some of the  
extra postal subsidy and  
postal construction money,  
some of the extra housing  
money and some of the rail  
and subsidies proposed by one  
of the other chamber.

But the compromise still  
wasn't what decisions were  
being made. It was the war  
the day before today. The  
conference, over to reporters  
and the public, which was up  
and running, was behind

and other staff aides, with re-  
porters jamming the empty  
chairs and standing along the  
walls, the members were re-  
laxed, joked among them-  
selves and took off their coats  
to work in shirtsleeves.

Each member had before  
him tally sheets comparing  
what the House and Senate  
had voted overall and in each  
of 17 categories like defense,  
international affairs, agricul-  
ture and income security. The  
idea was to come up with a

the economy and to give them  
as a guide in passing authori-  
zations and appropriations.  
Senate members avoided be-  
ing too precise in working on  
spending figures, saying it  
wasn't their function to de-  
bate every line item and  
change in the entire bud-  
get. But Rep. Robert N. Taft  
(Conn.), Adams and others  
press for detailed language  
prevent imposing the cap on  
Adams, in agreeing to

## Fulbright His 'Deep Mistrust' of Congress U.S. Held Responsible for S

By Murray Martin  
Washington Post Staff Writer

The United States is largely  
responsible for the  
essentially powerless and  
"essentially irresponsible" con-  
dition of the United Nations,  
Sen. J. W. Fulbright  
said yesterday.

It cannot fairly be said that  
the idea of an "effective inter-  
national peacekeeping organi-  
zation" was "a failure," Ful-  
bright said, "because no seri-  
ous effort was ever made to  
implement it." The United  
States had "deep mistrust" of  
the concept, he said.

Appearing as a witness be-  
fore the Senate Foreign Rela-  
tions Committee, which he  
headed for 15 years, Fulbright  
said that now after the  
"catastrophic consequences in South  
East Asia" is the opportunity  
time to "red-think" the  
role of the United Nations.

He said that the United  
Nations, "in its present  
form, is not a very effective  
organism."

He said that the United  
Nations, "in its present  
form, is not a very effective  
organism."



J. W. FULBRIGHT  
... "a true prophet"

Union toward the United  
Nations at the outset, Fulbright  
said. "We are less aware of  
the negative attitude of the  
Fulbright administration,"  
placing "even a small mis-  
ture of trust in international  
institutions."

Fulbright recalled that Pres-  
ident Truman's Secretary of  
State, the late Dean G. Acheson,  
told an interviewer in  
1970, "I never thought  
United Nations was worth  
damn. To a lot of people  
was a Holy Grail and I was  
who set store by it had  
misfortune to believe in  
own bunk."

Prof. Richard N. Goodwin of  
Columbia University said,  
during the Nixon adminis-  
tration the U.S. attitude toward  
the United Nations was  
one of "a true prophet"

He said that the United  
Nations, "in its present  
form, is not a very effective  
organism."